

μ -cscK metric (on arXiv:1902:00664)

Eiji Inoue (瑛二, 井上)

17, June, 2019 at 台北 (Taipei)

Contents:

- 1 Introduction to μ -cscK
- 2 Expected results: Futaki invariant, Reductiveness...
- 3 Volume minimization
- 4 Go to the limit $\lambda \searrow -\infty$

1. Introduction to μ -cscK

μ -scalar curvature: definition

$X \curvearrowright T \cong (U(1))^{\times k}$: holomorphic action on a complex (Kähler) manifold

μ -scalar curvature

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ and a T -equivariant Kähler metric $\omega + \mu$, we put

$$s_{\xi}^{\lambda}(\omega) := (s(\omega) - \Delta\mu_{\xi}) - (\Delta\mu_{\xi} + 2|\nabla\mu_{\xi}|^2) + 2\lambda\mu_{\xi}.$$

- $s(\omega) - \Delta\mu_{\xi} = \operatorname{tr}_{\omega}(\operatorname{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla\mu_{\xi}}\omega)$
- $L_{\nabla\mu_{\xi}}(e^{-2\mu_{\xi}}\omega^n) = (\Delta\mu_{\xi} + 2|\nabla\mu_{\xi}|^2) e^{-2\mu_{\xi}}\omega^n$

μ -scalar curvature: definition

$X \curvearrowright T \cong (U(1))^{\times k}$: holomorphic action on a complex (Kähler) manifold

μ -scalar curvature

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ and a T -equivariant Kähler metric $\omega + \mu$, we put

$$s_{\xi}^{\lambda}(\omega) := (s(\omega) - \Delta\mu_{\xi}) - (\Delta\mu_{\xi} + 2|\nabla\mu_{\xi}|^2) + 2\lambda\mu_{\xi}.$$

- $s(\omega) - \Delta\mu_{\xi} = \text{tr}_{\omega}(\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla\mu_{\xi}}\omega)$
- $L_{\nabla\mu_{\xi}}(e^{-2\mu_{\xi}}\omega^n) = (\Delta\mu_{\xi} + 2|\nabla\mu_{\xi}|^2) e^{-2\mu_{\xi}}\omega^n$

Definition

A Kähler metric ω is a μ_{ξ}^{λ} -cscK metric if $s_{\xi}^{\lambda}(\omega)$ is constant.

- Independent of the choice of the moment map μ for ω .
- μ_0^{λ} -cscK metric \iff cscK metric.

μ -scalar curvature: Kähler-Ricci soliton

X : Fano manifold, i.e. $c_1(X) > 0$.

Kähler-Ricci soliton

A (ξ -invariant) Kähler metric $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X) = [\text{Ric}(\omega)]$ is called a Kähler-Ricci soliton if

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla_{\mu\xi}}\omega = \omega.$$

μ -scalar curvature: Kähler-Ricci soliton

X : Fano manifold, i.e. $c_1(X) > 0$.

Kähler-Ricci soliton

A (ξ -invariant) Kähler metric $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X) = [\text{Ric}(\omega)]$ is called a Kähler-Ricci soliton if

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega = \omega.$$

KRS \Rightarrow

- $s(\omega) - \Delta \mu_\xi = \text{tr}_\omega(\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega) = n.$

μ -scalar curvature: Kähler-Ricci soliton

X : Fano manifold, i.e. $c_1(X) > 0$.

Kähler-Ricci soliton

A (ξ -invariant) Kähler metric $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X) = [\text{Ric}(\omega)]$ is called a Kähler-Ricci soliton if

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega = \omega.$$

KRS \Rightarrow

- $s(\omega) - \Delta \mu_\xi = \text{tr}_\omega(\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega) = n.$

-

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\nabla \mu_\xi}(\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega) &= \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (\Delta \mu_\xi + 2|\nabla \mu_\xi|^2) \\ &= L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (2\mu_\xi). \end{aligned}$$

μ -scalar curvature: Kähler-Ricci soliton

X : Fano manifold, i.e. $c_1(X) > 0$.

Kähler-Ricci soliton

A (ξ -invariant) Kähler metric $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X) = [\text{Ric}(\omega)]$ is called a Kähler-Ricci soliton if

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega = \omega.$$

KRS \Rightarrow

- $s(\omega) - \Delta \mu_\xi = \text{tr}_\omega(\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega) = n.$

-

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\nabla \mu_\xi}(\text{Ric}(\omega) + L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega) &= \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (\Delta \mu_\xi + 2|\nabla \mu_\xi|^2) \\ &= L_{\nabla \mu_\xi} \omega = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} (2\mu_\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition (I. '18)

Fix $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$. $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ is KRS for $\xi \iff \mu_\xi^1$ -cscK metric.

Proof of “KRS $\Leftarrow \mu^1$ -cscK”

Proof.

- $h : \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h,$
- $\theta_\xi := -2\mu_\xi,$
- $\xi^J = J\xi + \sqrt{-1}\xi = \bar{\partial}^\# \theta_\xi.$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\xi^J}(\text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega) &= \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\bar{\square}\theta_\xi - \theta_\xi) \\ &= L_{\xi^J}\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi^J h \end{aligned}$$

Proof of “KRS $\Leftarrow \mu^1$ -cscK”

Proof.

- $h : \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h,$
- $\theta_\xi := -2\mu_\xi,$
- $\xi^J = J\xi + \sqrt{-1}\xi = \bar{\partial}^\# \theta_\xi.$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{\xi^J}(\text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega) &= \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\bar{\square}\theta_\xi - \theta_\xi) \\
 &= L_{\xi^J}\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi^J h \\
 &\Rightarrow \bar{\square}\theta_\xi - \theta_\xi - \xi^J h = \text{const.} \\
 &\Rightarrow s_\xi^1(\omega) = -(\bar{\square} - \xi^J)(h - \theta_\xi) + \text{const.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of “KRS $\Leftarrow \mu^1$ -cscK”

Proof.

- $h : \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h$,
- $\theta_\xi := -2\mu_\xi$,
- $\xi^J = J\xi + \sqrt{-1}\xi = \bar{\partial}^\# \theta_\xi$.

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\xi^J}(\text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega) &= \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\bar{\square}\theta_\xi - \theta_\xi) \\ &= L_{\xi^J}\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi^J h \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\square}\theta_\xi - \theta_\xi - \xi^J h = \text{const.}$$

$$\Rightarrow s_\xi^1(\omega) = -(\bar{\square} - \xi^J)(h - \theta_\xi) + \text{const.}$$

Therefore, $s_\xi^1(\omega) = \text{const.} \Rightarrow (\bar{\square} - \xi^J)(h - \theta_\xi) = \text{const.}$

$$\Rightarrow h - \theta_\xi = \text{const.} \Rightarrow \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta_\xi: \text{KRS} \quad \square$$

Proof of “KRS $\Leftarrow \mu^1$ -cscK”

Proof.

- $h : \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h,$
- $\theta_\xi := -2\mu_\xi,$
- $\xi^J = J\xi + \sqrt{-1}\xi = \bar{\partial}^\# \theta_\xi.$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\xi^J}(\text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega) &= \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}(\bar{\square}\theta_\xi - \theta_\xi) \\ &= L_{\xi^J}\sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}h = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\xi^J h \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\square}\theta_\xi - \theta_\xi - \xi^J h = \text{const.}$$

$$\Rightarrow s_\xi^1(\omega) = -(\bar{\square} - \xi^J)(h - \theta_\xi) + \text{const.}$$

Therefore, $s_\xi^1(\omega) = \text{const.} \Rightarrow (\bar{\square} - \xi^J)(h - \theta_\xi) = \text{const.}$

$$\Rightarrow h - \theta_\xi = \text{const.} \Rightarrow \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\theta_\xi: \text{KRS} \quad \square$$

Summary: Similarly as cscK generalizes KE, μ -cscK generalizes KRS for general Kähler class.

μ -scalar curvature: “naturalness” of the concept

Recall

Donaldson-Fujiki moment map picture

(M, ω) : C^∞ -symplectic manifold. Scalar curvature gives a moment map on $\mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$. Namely, the map $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{J}(M, \omega) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\text{Ham}(M, \omega))^\vee$ given by

$$\langle \mathcal{S}(J), f \rangle = \int_M (s(g_J) - \bar{s}) f \omega^n$$

is a moment map for the symplectic structure Ω on $\mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$:

$$\Omega_J(A, B) = \int_M (JA, B)_{g_J} \omega^n.$$

μ -scalar curvature: “naturality” of the concept

Put

$$\bar{s}_\xi^\lambda := \int_M s_\xi^\lambda(g_J) e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n} / \int_M e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}.$$

Proposition (Moment map picture for μ -cscK, I. '18, Lahdili '18)

$(M, \omega) \circlearrowleft T$: C^∞ -symplectic manifold. μ -scalar curvature gives a moment map on $\mathcal{J}_T(M, \omega)$. Namely, the map $\mathcal{S}_\xi^\lambda : \mathcal{J}_T(M, \omega) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\text{Ham}_T(M, \omega))^\vee$ given by

$$\langle \mathcal{S}_\xi^\lambda(J), f \rangle = \int_M (s_\xi^\lambda(g_J) - \bar{s}_\xi^\lambda) f e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}$$

is a moment map for the symplectic structure Ω_ξ on $\mathcal{J}_T(M, \omega)$:

$$\Omega_{\xi, J}(A, B) = \int_M (JA, B)_{g_J} e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}.$$

2. Expected results: Futaki invariant, Reductiveness...

μ -Futaki invariant

Put

$$\mathfrak{h}_0(X) := \{\zeta' \in H^0(X, TX) \mid \exists \theta_{\zeta'} \in C_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}(X) \text{ s.t. } i_{\zeta'}\omega = \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\theta_{\zeta'}\}.$$

Proposition (Lahdili '18, I. '19)

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, we define $\text{Fut}_{\xi}^{\lambda} : \mathfrak{h}_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\text{Fut}_{\xi}^{\lambda}(\zeta') := \int_X (s_{\xi}^{\lambda}(\omega) - \bar{s}_{\xi}^{\lambda})\theta_{\zeta'} e^{\theta_{\xi}\omega^n} / \int_X e^{\theta_{\xi}\omega^n},$$

taking a ξ -invariant metric ω . Then this only depends on $(X, [\omega], \xi, \lambda)$.

- $\text{Fut}_{\xi}^{\lambda}$ vanishes if there exists a μ_{ξ}^{λ} -cscK metric in $[\omega]$.
- $\text{Fut}_{\xi}^{\lambda}$ is well-defined on \mathfrak{h}_0 not only on $\mathfrak{h}_{0,\xi} := \{\zeta' \in \mathfrak{h}_0 \mid [\xi^J, \zeta'] = 0\}$.
- $\text{Fut}_{\xi}^{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is T -equivariant deformation invariant.

Reductiveness

Proposition (Lahdili '18, I. '19)

Suppose $b^1(X) = 0$ for simplicity. If there exists a μ_ξ^λ -cscK metric for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the identity component $\text{Aut}_\xi^0(X)$ of the group of biholomorphisms compatible with ξ is a reductive linear algebraic group. When $\lambda \leq 0$, $\text{Aut}_\xi^0(X)$ is maximal reductive in $\text{Aut}^0(X)$.

Combined with Luna's étale slice theorem and GIT, reductiveness is applied as follows in the case $[\omega] = 2\pi c_1(X)$:

- Chen-Donaldson-Sun: K-polystable $\Rightarrow \exists$ KE
- Construction of the moduli of Fano manifolds with KE/KRS metrics

Non-emptiness: perturbation of Kähler class

Proposition (I. '19)

Suppose a Kähler manifold $(X, [\omega])$ admits a μ_ξ^λ -cscK metric ω_λ satisfying $\lambda \leq \lambda_1$ for the first eigenvalue λ_1 of $\Delta - \nabla\theta_\xi$. Then there exists a positive number $\epsilon > 0$ and a neighbourhood U of $[\omega]$ in the Kähler cone of X such that for every $[\tilde{\omega}] \in U$ and $\tilde{\lambda} \in (\lambda - \epsilon, \lambda + \epsilon)$ there exists a $\mu_{\tilde{\xi}}^{\tilde{\lambda}}$ -cscK metric $\tilde{\omega}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ in $[\tilde{\omega}]$ for some $\tilde{\xi} \in \text{Lie}(T_{\max})$.

Example

- For every cscK manifold (X, ω) , a perturbation of $[\omega]$ admits a μ^λ -cscK metric for every $\lambda \leq 0$.
- For every toric Fano manifold X , a perturbation of $[\omega] = 2\pi c_1(X)$ admits a $\mu^{1 \pm \epsilon}$ -cscK metric.

3. Volume minimization

Tian-Zhu's volume minimization for KRS

Let X be a Fano manifold and ω be a Kähler metric in $2\pi c_1(X)$. Let $X \curvearrowright K$ be a holomorphic action of a compact group which is Hamiltonian with respect to ω .

Take for each $\xi \in \mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$, take a moment map μ_ξ and normalize $\theta_\xi := -2\mu_\xi$ so that $\bar{\square}\theta_\xi - \theta_\xi - \xi^J h = 0$.

Proposition (Tian-Zhu '02)

The modified Futaki invariant Fut_ξ^1 is given as the derivative of the following proper convex functional at $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$ (up to positive multiple):

$$f(\xi) := \int_X e^{\theta_\xi} \omega^n.$$

Cororally

There exists a unique vector $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that $\text{Fut}_\xi^1 \equiv 0$.

μ -volume functional

Let $(X, [\omega]) \circlearrowleft K$ be a general compact Kähler manifold. Put

$$\text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) := e^{\bar{s}_\xi^\lambda} \left(\int_X e^{\theta_\xi} \omega^n \right)^\lambda.$$

Proposition (I. '19)

$$d_\xi \text{Vol}^\lambda = \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) \cdot \text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda$$

μ -volume functional

Let $(X, [\omega]) \circlearrowleft K$ be a general compact Kähler manifold. Put

$$\text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) := e^{\bar{s}_\xi^\lambda} \left(\int_X e^{\theta_\xi} \omega^n \right)^\lambda.$$

Proposition (I. '19)

$$d_\xi \text{Vol}^\lambda = \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) \cdot \text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda$$

- 1 Properness of Vol^λ ?
- 2 Uniqueness of the critical points of Vol^λ ?

μ -volume functional

Let $(X, [\omega]) \circlearrowleft K$ be a general compact Kähler manifold. Put

$$\text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) := e^{\bar{s}_\xi^\lambda} \left(\int_X e^{\theta_\xi} \omega^n \right)^\lambda.$$

Proposition (I. '19)

$$d_\xi \text{Vol}^\lambda = \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) \cdot \text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda$$

- 1 Properness of Vol^λ ? - TRUE
- 2 Uniqueness of the critical points of Vol^λ ? - TRUE for $\lambda \ll 0$.

However, NOT TRUE for $\lambda \gg 0$!

Properness of Vol^λ

Proposition (I. '19)

$\log \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) = \bar{s}_\xi^\lambda + \lambda \log \int_X e^{\theta_\xi} \omega^n$ goes to $+\infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Replacing θ_ξ by $\theta_\xi + \text{const.}$ doesn't affect the value of Vol^λ .
Normalize θ_ξ so that $\max \theta_\xi = 0$ and put $\Sigma := \{x \in X \mid \theta_\xi(x) = 0\}$.

Properness of Vol^λ

Proposition (I. '19)

$\log \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) = \bar{s}_\xi^\lambda + \lambda \log \int_X e^{\theta_\xi} \omega^n$ goes to $+\infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Replacing θ_ξ by $\theta_\xi + \text{const.}$ doesn't affect the value of Vol^λ .
Normalize θ_ξ so that $\max \theta_\xi = 0$ and put $\Sigma := \{x \in X \mid \theta_\xi(x) = 0\}$.
As θ_ξ is a perfect [Morse-Bott function](#), we can write as

$$\theta_\xi = -(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{2k}^2)$$

near Σ , where $2k = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \Sigma$ and x_i are normal to Σ .

Properness of Vol^λ

Proposition (I. '19)

$\log \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) = \bar{s}_\xi^\lambda + \lambda \log \int_X e^{\theta_\xi} \omega^n$ goes to $+\infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Replacing θ_ξ by $\theta_\xi + \text{const.}$ doesn't affect the value of Vol^λ .
 Normalize θ_ξ so that $\max \theta_\xi = 0$ and put $\Sigma := \{x \in X \mid \theta_\xi(x) = 0\}$.
 As θ_ξ is a perfect **Morse-Bott function**, we can write as

$$\theta_\xi = -(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{2k}^2)$$

near Σ , where $2k = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \Sigma$ and x_i are normal to Σ .

Applying **Gaussian integral** near Σ , we can show for $p = 0, 1, \dots$ a convergence of measures $(-1)^p t^{k+p} \theta_\xi^p e^{t\theta_\xi} \omega^n \rightarrow dm_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to some strictly positive measure dm_∞ supported on Σ .

Properness of Vol^λ

Proposition (I. '19)

$\log \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi) = \bar{s}_\xi^\lambda + \lambda \log \int_X e^{\theta_\xi} \omega^n$ goes to $+\infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Replacing θ_ξ by $\theta_\xi + \text{const.}$ doesn't affect the value of Vol^λ .
 Normalize θ_ξ so that $\max \theta_\xi = 0$ and put $\Sigma := \{x \in X \mid \theta_\xi(x) = 0\}$.
 As θ_ξ is a perfect **Morse-Bott function**, we can write as

$$\theta_\xi = -(x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{2k}^2)$$

near Σ , where $2k = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \Sigma$ and x_i are normal to Σ .

Applying **Gaussian integral** near Σ , we can show for $p = 0, 1, \dots$ a convergence of measures $(-1)^p t^{k+p} \theta_\xi^p e^{t\theta_\xi} \omega^n \rightarrow dm_\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to some strictly positive measure dm_∞ supported on Σ .

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}_{t\xi}^0/t &= \int_X (s(\omega)/t) e^{t\theta_\xi} \omega^n / \int_X e^{t\theta_\xi} \omega^n + \int_X \bar{\square} \theta_\xi e^{t\theta_\xi} \omega^n / \int_X e^{t\theta_\xi} \omega^n \\ &\rightarrow 0 + \int_\Sigma \bar{\square} \theta_\xi dm_\infty / \int_\Sigma dm_\infty > 0 \quad (\Leftarrow (\bar{\square} \theta_\xi)|_\Sigma > 0) \end{aligned}$$

Continuation: proof of the properness of Vol^λ

As for the rest terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \text{Vol}^\lambda(t\xi)/t - \bar{s}_{t\xi}^0/t &= \lambda \left(- \int_X \theta_\xi e^{t\theta_\xi} \omega^n / \int_X e^{t\theta_\xi} \omega^n + t^{-1} \log \int_X e^{t\theta_\xi} \omega^n \right) \\ &= O(t^{-1}) + O(t^{-1} \log t) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\log \text{Vol}^\lambda(t\xi) \approx C_\xi t \nearrow \infty$ as $C_\xi = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{s}_{t\xi}^0/t > 0$. \square

Cororally

For each λ , there exists a minimizer ξ of Vol^λ . In particular, there exists a vector $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that $\text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda \equiv 0$.

Non-Uniqueness of critical points of Vol^λ when $\lambda \gg 0$

The second derivative is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^2 \Big|_{t=0} \log \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi + t\zeta) \\ &= -2 \frac{\int_X \theta_\zeta e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}} \text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda(\zeta) + \frac{\int_X (\hat{S}_\xi^\lambda \theta_\zeta^2 + 4|\zeta|_{g_J}^2) e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}} \\ & \quad - \lambda \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_\zeta^2 e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}} - \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_\zeta e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Non-Uniqueness of critical points of Vol^λ when $\lambda \gg 0$

The second derivative is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^2 \Big|_{t=0} \log \text{Vol}^\lambda(\xi + t\zeta) \\ &= -2 \frac{\int_X \theta_\zeta e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}} \text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda(\zeta) + \frac{\int_X (\hat{s}_\xi^\lambda \theta_\zeta^2 + 4|\zeta|_{g_J}^2) e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}} \\ & \quad - \lambda \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_\zeta^2 e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}} - \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_\zeta e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_\xi \omega^n}} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\text{Fut} \equiv 0$. Then $0 \in \mathfrak{k}$ is a critical point of Vol^λ for every λ as $\text{Fut} = \text{Fut}_0^\lambda$. We should have

$$\lambda \leq \frac{\int_X (s(\omega)\theta_\zeta^2 + 4|\zeta|_{g_J}^2)\omega^n}{\int_X \omega^n} / \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_\zeta^2 \omega^n}{\int_X \omega^n} - \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_\zeta \omega^n}{\int_X \omega^n} \right)^2 \right)$$

in order that $0 \in \mathfrak{k}$ is a local minimizer of Vol^λ . This fails for $\lambda \gg 0$.

4. Go to the limit $\lambda \searrow -\infty$

Extremal metric

Let $(X, [\omega])$ be a (compact) Kähler manifold. A Kähler metric $\omega \in [\omega]$ is called an **extremal metric** if it is a critical point of the Calabi functional $C(\omega) := \int_X (s(\omega) - \bar{s})^2 \omega^n$.

$\iff s(\omega) - \theta_\xi$ is constant for some ξ generating a (rank 1) torus.

Extremal metric

Let $(X, [\omega])$ be a (compact) Kähler manifold. A Kähler metric $\omega \in [\omega]$ is called an **extremal metric** if it is a critical point of the Calabi functional $C(\omega) := \int_X (s(\omega) - \bar{s})^2 \omega^n$.

$\iff s(\omega) - \theta_\xi$ is constant for some ξ generating a (rank 1) torus.

Recall

$$s_\xi^\lambda(\omega) = (s(\omega) + \bar{\square}\theta_\xi) + (\bar{\square}\theta_\xi - 2|\xi|^2) - \lambda\theta_\xi.$$

If we have a sequence of triples $\{(\xi_i, \lambda_i, \omega_i) \in \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{H}_{[\omega]}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $\xi_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda_i \xi_i \rightarrow \xi_\infty$ for some $\xi_\infty \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $\omega_i \rightarrow \omega_\infty$ for some Kähler metric $\omega_\infty \in [\omega]$, then $s_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i}(\omega_i)$ converges to $s(\omega_\infty) - \theta_{\xi_\infty}$.

Extremal metric

Let $(X, [\omega])$ be a (compact) Kähler manifold. A Kähler metric $\omega \in [\omega]$ is called an **extremal metric** if it is a critical point of the Calabi functional $C(\omega) := \int_X (s(\omega) - \bar{s})^2 \omega^n$.

$\iff s(\omega) - \theta_\xi$ is constant for some ξ generating a (rank 1) torus.

Recall

$$s_\xi^\lambda(\omega) = (s(\omega) + \bar{\square}\theta_\xi) + (\bar{\square}\theta_\xi - 2|\xi|^2) - \lambda\theta_\xi.$$

If we have a sequence of triples $\{(\xi_i, \lambda_i, \omega_i) \in \mathfrak{t} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{H}_{[\omega]}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $\xi_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda_i \xi_i \rightarrow \xi_\infty$ for some $\xi_\infty \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $\omega_i \rightarrow \omega_\infty$ for some Kähler metric $\omega_\infty \in [\omega]$, then $s_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i}(\omega_i)$ converges to $s(\omega_\infty) - \theta_{\xi_\infty}$.

- If ω_i are $\mu_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i}$ -cscK metrics, then ω_∞ is an extremal metric.
- Taking $\omega_i = \omega$ shows that $\text{Fut}_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i}$ converges to $\text{Fut}_{\xi_\infty}^{\text{ext}} = \int_X (\hat{s}(\omega) - \hat{\theta}_{\xi_\infty}) \bullet \omega^n / \int_X \omega^n$.

Claim of this section

Claim

If we take a sequence $\{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)\}$ so that $\lambda_i \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\text{Fut}_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i} \equiv 0$, then $\xi_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda_i \xi_i \rightarrow \xi_{\text{ext}}$, where ξ_{ext} is the (extremal) vector uniquely characterized by $\text{Fut}_{\xi_{\text{ext}}}^{\text{ext}} \equiv 0$.

Remark: such a sequence always exists.

Claim of this section

Claim

If we take a sequence $\{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)\}$ so that $\lambda_i \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\text{Fut}_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i} \equiv 0$, then $\xi_i \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda_i \xi_i \rightarrow \xi_{\text{ext}}$, where ξ_{ext} is the (extremal) vector uniquely characterized by $\text{Fut}_{\xi_{\text{ext}}}^{\text{ext}} \equiv 0$.

Remark: such a sequence always exists.

Note

$$\text{Fut}_{\xi}^{\lambda}(\xi) = \text{Fut}_{\xi}^0(\xi) - \lambda \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_{\xi}^2 e^{\theta_{\xi} \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_{\xi} \omega^n}} - \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_{\xi} e^{\theta_{\xi} \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_{\xi} \omega^n}} \right)^2 \right).$$

Put

$$\lambda_{\xi} := \text{Fut}_{\xi}^0(\xi) \cdot \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_{\xi}^2 e^{\theta_{\xi} \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_{\xi} \omega^n}} - \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_{\xi} e^{\theta_{\xi} \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_{\xi} \omega^n}} \right)^2 \right)^{-1} \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0,$$

then $\text{Fut}_{\xi}^{\lambda}(\xi) = 0 \iff \lambda = \lambda_{\xi}$ for $\xi \neq 0$.

λ as a function of ξ

λ is a continuous function on $\mathfrak{k} \setminus \{0\}$. So if a sequence of pairs (ξ_i, λ_i) satisfies $\text{Fut}_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i} \equiv 0$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow -\infty$, then $\lambda_i = \lambda_{\xi_i}$ and $|\xi_i|$ should satisfy either $|\xi_i| \rightarrow 0$ or $|\xi_i| \rightarrow \infty$.

λ as a function of ξ

λ is a continuous function on $\mathfrak{k} \setminus \{0\}$. So if a sequence of pairs (ξ_i, λ_i) satisfies $\text{Fut}_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i} \equiv 0$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow -\infty$, then $\lambda_i = \lambda_{\xi_i}$ and $|\xi_i|$ should satisfy either $|\xi_i| \rightarrow 0$ or $|\xi_i| \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma

The set $\{\xi \in \mathfrak{k} \mid \lambda_\xi \leq 0\}$ is bounded. In particular, we have $|\xi_i| \rightarrow 0$.

In a similar way to the proof of the properness of Vol^λ , we can prove $\text{Fut}_\xi^0(\xi) \nearrow +\infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. \square

λ as a function of ξ

λ is a continuous function on $\mathfrak{k} \setminus \{0\}$. So if a sequence of pairs (ξ_i, λ_i) satisfies $\text{Fut}_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i} \equiv 0$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow -\infty$, then $\lambda_i = \lambda_{\xi_i}$ and $|\xi_i|$ should satisfy either $|\xi_i| \rightarrow 0$ or $|\xi_i| \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma

The set $\{\xi \in \mathfrak{k} \mid \lambda_\xi \leq 0\}$ is bounded. In particular, we have $|\xi_i| \rightarrow 0$.

In a similar way to the proof of the properness of Vol^λ , we can prove $\text{Fut}_\xi^0(\xi) \nearrow +\infty$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Lemma

A normalized function $\lambda_\xi |\xi|$ on $\mathfrak{k}^\times = \mathfrak{k} \setminus \{0\}$ continuously extends to the real blowing-up $\hat{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{k}^\times \cup \{|\xi| = 1\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$. In particular, $\lambda_i \xi_i$ is bounded.

When $t \rightarrow 0$, $|t\xi|^{-1} \text{Fut}_{t\xi}^0(t\xi) \rightarrow \int_X (s(\omega) - \bar{s}) \theta_{\xi/|\xi|} \omega^n / \int_X \omega^n$ and

$$|t\xi|^{-2} \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_{t\xi}^2 e^{\theta_{t\xi} \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_{t\xi} \omega^n}} - \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_{t\xi} e^{\theta_{t\xi} \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{\theta_{t\xi} \omega^n}} \right)^2 \right) \rightarrow \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_{\xi/|\xi|}^2 \omega^n}{\int_X \omega^n} - \left(\frac{\int_X \theta_{\xi/|\xi|} \omega^n}{\int_X \omega^n} \right)^2 \right) \square$$

Continuation: proof of the claim

Thus we can find a subsequence of $\{\lambda_i \xi_i\}$ converging to a vector ξ_∞ . As $\text{Fut}_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i} \rightarrow \text{Fut}_{\xi_\infty}^{\text{ext}}$ and $\text{Fut}_{\xi_i}^{\lambda_i} \equiv 0$, we have $\text{Fut}_{\xi_\infty}^{\text{ext}} \equiv 0$. Such a vector ξ_∞ is the unique critical point of the following proper convex functional:

$$W^{\text{ext}}(\xi) := \int_X (s - \bar{s} - (\theta_\xi - \bar{\theta}_\xi))^2 \omega^n.$$

It follows that ξ_∞ is independent of the choice of the subsequence, so that the original sequence $\lambda_i \xi_i$ converges to ξ_{ext} .

From extremal metric to μ -cscK, recent updates

Proposition (I. '19)

Suppose a Kähler manifold $(X, [\omega])$ admits an extremal metric ω_{ext} , then for every $\lambda \ll 0$ there exists a μ_ξ^λ -cscK metric ω_λ for some $\xi \neq 0$.
Moreover, ω_λ converges to ω_{ext} as $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$.

Proposition (I. '19)

The functional Vol^λ has unique critical points for $\lambda \ll 0$.

Questions and ...

- 1 Can we expect the uniqueness of the critical points of Vol^λ for every $\lambda \leq 0$?
- 2 When $[\omega] = 2\pi c_1(X)$, what about for $\lambda \leq 1$?
- 3 Prove the uniqueness of $\mu_{(\xi)}^\lambda$ -cscK metric for each $\lambda \leq 0 \pmod{\text{Aut}}$.
- 4 Relate the existence of μ_ξ^λ -cscK (resp. μ^λ -cscK) metric to μ K-stability of $(X, [\omega], \xi, \lambda)$ (resp. μ K-stability of $(X, [\omega], \lambda) \circlearrowright T$) (in progress).
- 5 Construct moduli spaces of Kähler manifolds with μ_ξ^λ -cscK metric.
- 6 Wall-crossing: When $[\omega] = 2\pi c_1(X)$, observe what happens on the moduli spaces when λ changes from 1 (KRS) to $-\infty$ (extremal).

Questions and ...

- 1 Can we expect the uniqueness of the critical points of Vol^λ for every $\lambda \leq 0$?
- 2 When $[\omega] = 2\pi c_1(X)$, what about for $\lambda \leq 1$?
- 3 Prove the uniqueness of $\mu_{(\xi)}^\lambda$ -cscK metric for each $\lambda \leq 0 \pmod{\text{Aut}}$.
- 4 Relate the existence of μ_ξ^λ -cscK (resp. μ^λ -cscK) metric to μ K-stability of $(X, [\omega], \xi, \lambda)$ (resp. μ K-stability of $(X, [\omega], \lambda) \circlearrowright T$) (in progress).
- 5 Construct moduli spaces of Kähler manifolds with μ_ξ^λ -cscK metric.
- 6 Wall-crossing: When $[\omega] = 2\pi c_1(X)$, observe what happens on the moduli spaces when λ changes from 1 (KRS) to $-\infty$ (extremal).

多謝！ (Thank you !)