

# A sketch of the future of $\mu$ -cscK metrics and $\mu$ K-stability

Eiji INOUE (RIKEN iTHEMS, Japan)

9, October, 2022, The 28th Symposium on Complex Geometry

# Contents

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Theory on “stability”:  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metric and  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-stability
- 3 Theory on “instability”: (toric) NA  $\mu$ -entropy and Perelman entropy

## 1. Motivation

# Dream

Want to construct a moduli theory of polarized varieties, generalizing that of Fano varieties, which encloses “unstable” objects.

# What is the moduli theory of Fano varieties?, unstable case

What can we say about “unstable (= general)” Fano manifolds?

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. In some way, we want to assign another “semistable”  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $X_0$  for which we have a nice moduli theory.

# What is the moduli theory of Fano varieties?, unstable case

What can we say about “unstable (= general)” Fano manifolds?

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. In some way, we want to assign another “semistable”  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $X_0$  for which we have a nice moduli theory.

In this talk, a “degeneration”  $(X, L) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} (X_0, L_0)$  of  $(X, L)$  means a f.g. filtration  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$  on the graded ring  $R(X, L) = \bigoplus_m H^0(X, L^{\otimes m})$ , which is an “irrational version” of test configuration (see [BHJ] and [CSW]). A prime divisor  $E \subset Y \rightarrow X$  (over  $X$ ) gives a (not necessarily f.g.) filtration  $\mathcal{F}_E$ .

For a “special degeneration”  $X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_E} X_0$  of a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $(X, -K_X)$ , we can define the  $H$ -entropy  $\check{H}_{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{R}$  following [DS] and [HL]:

$$\check{H}_{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{F}) := -2\pi((1 + \text{ord}_E(K_Y/K_X)) + \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t} \text{DH}_{\nu_E}).$$

# What is the moduli theory of Fano varieties?, unstable case

## Theorem (CSW, DS, HL, BLXZ)

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. Then there exists a unique “special degeneration”  $X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}^E} X_0$  which maximizes  $\check{H}_{NA}$ . Moreover,

- the central fibre  $X_0$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety with a vector field  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ .
- $X_0$  admits a unique  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ -equivariant test configuration whose central fibre is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $Z$  with Kähler–Ricci soliton w.r.t  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ .
- When  $X$  is smooth,  $Z$  is the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of the normalized Kähler–Ricci flow.

# What is the moduli theory of Fano varieties?, unstable case

## Theorem (CSW, DS, HL, BLXZ)

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. Then there exists a unique “special degeneration”  $X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_E} X_0$  which maximizes  $\check{H}_{NA}$ . Moreover,

- the central fibre  $X_0$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety with a vector field  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ .
- $X_0$  admits a unique  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ -equivariant test configuration whose central fibre is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $Z$  with Kähler–Ricci soliton w.r.t  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ .
- When  $X$  is smooth,  $Z$  is the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of the normalized Kähler–Ricci flow.

Remark: later we introduce another invariant  $\check{\mu}_{NA}^\lambda$

- $\check{H}_{NA}$  ... Ding type invariant ... makes sense for  $L = -K_X$
- $\check{\mu}_{NA}^\lambda$  ... DF/Mabuchi type invariant ... makes sense in general

The same result is true also for  $\check{\mu}_{NA}^{2\pi}$  instead of  $\check{H}_{NA}$ .



# What is the moduli theory of Fano varieties?, stable case

Kähler–Ricci soliton:

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) - \mathcal{L}_{J\xi}\omega = 2\pi\omega.$$

Theorem (i + thesis)

There exists an algebraic moduli space of Fano manifolds with Kähler–Ricci solitons.

# What is the moduli theory of Fano varieties?, stable case

Kähler–Ricci soliton:

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) - \mathcal{L}_{J\xi}\omega = 2\pi\omega.$$

Theorem (i + thesis)

There exists an algebraic moduli space of Fano manifolds with Kähler–Ricci solitons.

Conjecture (Compact moduli space of KRs  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties)

There exists a projective moduli space of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties with Kähler–Ricci solitons.

# What is “stable”, then?

“Stability” in this line is

- **modified Futaki invariant** [BW] ... is defined for special test configuration
- **$e^{\langle -, \xi \rangle}$ -Ding invariant** [HL] ... is a Ding type invariant defined for general test configuration
- **$\mu_{\xi}^{2\pi}$ -Futaki invariant** [iii] ... is a DF/Mabuchi type invariant defined for general test configuration

These are a posteriori equivalent.

# Dream, again

Want to construct a moduli theory of polarized varieties, generalizing that of Fano varieties.

||

Want to extend the theory of Kähler–Ricci soliton / modified K-stability to general polarized variety.

How?

⋮

K-stability is introduced based on moment map picture of cscK metric.  
Observe the moment map picture of Kähler–Ricci soliton.

# Dream, again

Want to construct a moduli theory of polarized varieties, generalizing that of Fano varieties.

||

Want to extend the theory of Kähler–Ricci soliton / modified K-stability to general polarized variety.

How?

⋮

K-stability is introduced based on moment map picture of cscK metric.

Observe the moment map picture of Kähler–Ricci soliton.

⋮

There appears a **new degree of freedom**  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , which enriches the theory.

# Tasks

Let's establish:

- Differential geometric theory on “stability”:  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metric
- Differential geometric theory on “instability”: Perelman entropy
- Algebra-geometric theory on “stability”:  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-stability
- Algebra-geometric theory on “instability”:  $\mu^\lambda$ K-optimal degeneration

# Tasks

Let's establish:

- Differential geometric theory on “stability”:  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metric
- Differential geometric theory on “instability”: Perelman entropy
- Algebraic-geometric theory on “stability”:  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-stability
- Algebraic-geometric theory on “instability”:  $\mu^\lambda$ K-optimal degeneration

We find two “**phases**” of the theory:

- Good behavior when  $\lambda \leq 0$
- Phase transition when  $\lambda \gg 0$

## 2. Theory on “stability”: $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metric and $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-stability



## $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metric

The moment map picture on Kähler–Ricci soliton observed in [i] yields the following notion.

### Definition

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , a Kähler metric  $\omega \in L$  is called a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric w.r.t  $\xi_f$  if there exists  $f \in C^\infty(X)$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_f} J = 0$  for the Hamiltonian vector field  $\xi_f$  (i.e.  $i_{\xi_f} \omega + df = 0$ ) and

$$s_f^\lambda(\omega) := (s(\omega) + \square f) + (\square f + |\partial^\# f|^2) - \lambda f$$

is constant.

Y. Nakagawa (2011) independently introduced the equation for

$$\lambda = -2\pi(K_X \cdot L^{n-1}) / (L \cdot n)$$

(in view of K-energy on KRs) and studied Calabi ansatz example.

## Basic property

- A Kähler–Ricci soliton  $\text{Ric}(\omega) - \mathcal{L}_{J\xi_f}\omega = 2\pi\beta\omega$  is a  $\mu_f^{2\pi\beta}$ -cscK metric, whose Kähler class  $L$  must satisfy  $\beta L = -K_X$ .
- Conversely, a  $\mu^{2\pi\beta}$ -cscK metric in the Kähler class  $L$  satisfying  $\beta L = -K_X$  is a Kähler–Ricci soliton.
- A cscK metric  $s(\omega) = \text{const.}$  in any Kähler class  $L$  is a  $\mu^{\lambda}$ -cscK metric with  $f = 0$  and every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Trivial construction:

- $\omega \in L$  is a  $\mu^{\lambda}$ -cscK metric iff  $c\omega \in cL$  is a  $\mu^{\lambda/c}$ -cscK metric ( $c > 0$ ).
- If  $(X, \omega_X)$  and  $(Y, \omega_Y)$  are  $\mu^{\lambda}$ -cscK manifolds, then  $(X \times Y, \omega_X \times \omega_Y)$  is a  $\mu^{\lambda}$ -cscK manifold.

Perturbation: the invertibility of  $\Delta - \nabla f - \lambda : C_{\xi_f}^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow C_{\xi_f}^{\infty}(X)$

- If  $\omega \in L$  is a  $\mu^{\lambda}$ -cscK metric for  $\lambda \leq 0$ , then there exists a  $\mu^{\lambda}$ -cscK metric  $\omega' \in L'$  in a small perturbation  $L'$  of  $L$ .
- If  $\omega \in L$  is a  $\mu^{\lambda}$ -cscK metric for  $\lambda \leq 0$ , then there exists a  $\mu^{\tilde{\lambda}}$ -cscK metric  $\tilde{\omega}$  in  $L$  for a small perturbation  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $\lambda$ .

## DG theory on "stability"

For a given Kähler class  $L$ , we are interested in the **existence** and the **uniqueness** modulo  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$  of  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics.

### Proposition (Reductiveness, ii)

If a Kähler class  $L = c_1(\mathcal{L})$  admits a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric  $\omega$  w.r.t.  $\xi$ , then  $\text{Aut}_\xi^0(X, \mathcal{L})$  is reductive with a maximal compact subgroup  $\text{Isom}_\xi^0(X, \omega)$ .  
 If  $\lambda \leq 0$ ,  $\text{Aut}_\xi^0(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a maximal reductive subgroup in  $\text{Aut}^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ .

### Theorem (Uniqueness of $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metric, Lahdili2)

Given a Kähler class  $L$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi$ ,  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics w.r.t.  $\xi$  in  $L$  are unique modulo  $\text{Aut}_\xi(X, L)$ .

## DG theory on "stability"

For a given Kähler class  $L$ , we are interested in the **existence** and the **uniqueness** modulo  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$  of  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics.

### Proposition (Reductiveness, ii)

If a Kähler class  $L = c_1(\mathcal{L})$  admits a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric  $\omega$  w.r.t.  $\xi$ , then  $\text{Aut}_\xi^0(X, \mathcal{L})$  is reductive with a maximal compact subgroup  $\text{Isom}_\xi^0(X, \omega)$ .  
If  $\lambda \leq 0$ ,  $\text{Aut}_\xi^0(X, \mathcal{L})$  is a maximal reductive subgroup in  $\text{Aut}^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ .

### Theorem (Uniqueness of $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metric, Lahdili2)

Given a Kähler class  $L$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\xi$ ,  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics w.r.t.  $\xi$  in  $L$  are unique modulo  $\text{Aut}_\xi(X, L)$ .

### Conjecture (Uniqueness of phase)

Given a Kähler class  $L$  and  $\lambda \leq 0$ ,  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics in  $L$  are unique modulo  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$ .

# Moving $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ : extremal limit and phase transition

## Proposition (Extremal limit, ii)

Suppose there exists an extremal metric  $\omega_{\text{ext}} \in L$ , then there exists a family of  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics  $\{\omega_\lambda\}$  in  $L$  for  $\lambda \ll 0$  such that  $\omega_\lambda$  converges smoothly to  $\omega_{\text{ext}}$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow -\infty$ .

## Proposition (Phase transition, ii)

Consider  $L = c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ .

- For  $\lambda \leq 2\pi$ , every  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric has trivial  $\xi = 0$ .
- For  $\lambda > 2\pi$ , there exists a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric w.r.t. **non-trivial**  $\xi \neq 0$ .

The latter metric is not the Fubini–Study metric and the isometry group reduces to  $U(1) \subset SU(1)$ .

## Corollary (Non-uniqueness result)

Given a Kähler class  $L$  and  $\lambda \gg 0$ ,  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics in  $L$  are **NOT unique** modulo  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$ , in general.

## Examples by Calabi ansatz

Let  $\Sigma$  be a proper curve and  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle of degree  $\ell \geq 1$ . Consider the ruled surface  $X := \mathbb{P}_\Sigma(\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O})$ . In  $H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) = [H^*(\Sigma)[B]/(B^2 - \ell B \cdot F)]^{(2)} = \mathbb{R}B \oplus \mathbb{R}F$ , the Kähler cone is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_X = \{xB + yF \mid x > 0, y > -\frac{\ell}{2}x\}$$

### Proposition (Nakagawa, ii)

Every Kähler class in the subcone  $\mathcal{C}'_X = \{xB + yF \mid x, y > 0\}$  admits a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric w.r.t. non-trivial  $\xi \neq 0$  for every  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

Remark: There exists  $L \in \mathcal{C}'_X$  with no extremal metric.

### Question

- What can we say about  $L \in \mathcal{C}_X \setminus \mathcal{C}'_X$ ?
- Can we compute  $\inf\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists \mu^{\lambda'}\text{-cscK in } L \text{ for every } \lambda' > \lambda\}$ ?

## Connecting KR's and extremal metric

### Proposition (Connecting KR's and extremal metric, ii)

In the Kähler class  $-K_X$  of  $X = \mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ , there exists a smooth family  $\{\omega_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in [-\infty, 2\pi]}$  of  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics, which gives an extremal metric at  $\lambda = -\infty$  and a KR's at  $\lambda = 2\pi$ .

### Question

What about the case  $\lambda > 2\pi$ ? Observe phase transition in this example.

## $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-stability (in toric case, for brevity)

Since  $\mathcal{L}_{\xi_f} g = 0$ ,  $T_{\text{cpt}} := \overline{\exp \mathbb{R} \xi_f} \subset \text{Isom}(X, g)$  is a closed torus.

Keep this in mind, we consider an algebraic torus action  $T$  on  $(X, L)$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t} := \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^\times) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and a  $T$ -equivariant test configuration  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ , we can define the  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -Futaki invariant  $\text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ .

When  $(X, L) \circlearrowleft T$  is toric, a convex function  $q$  on the moment polytope  $P := -\mu(X) \subset \mathfrak{t}^\vee$  encodes a  $T$ -equivariant test configuration  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ .

### $\mu_\xi^0$ -Futaki invariant for toric test configuration

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Fut}_\xi^0(q) &= \frac{\int_{\partial P} q e^{-\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\sigma}{\int_P e^{-\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\mu} - \frac{\int_{\partial P} e^{-\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\sigma}{\int_P e^{-\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\mu} \frac{\int_P q e^{-\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\mu}{\int_P e^{-\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\mu} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{\int_{\partial P} e^{(x, -\xi) + tq} d\sigma}{\int_P e^{\langle x, -\xi \rangle + tq} d\mu} \end{aligned}$$



# Conjectures based on moment map picture

## Conjecture (Existence of $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metric)

A Kähler class  $L$  admits a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric w.r.t.  $\xi$  if and only if  $\text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$  ( $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-semistable) for every  $\xi$ -equivariant  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  and  $\text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$  only for product configurations ( $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-polystable).

## Theorem (Lahdili1, iii, AJL)

$\Rightarrow$  is true (for smooth test configuration).

## Conjecture (Moduli space of $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-semistable polarized varieties)

For each  $\lambda, \xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ , there exists an algebraic moduli space of polarized varieties with  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metrics, whose moduli stack consists of  $T$ -equivariant family of polarized varieties which are  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-semistable.

### 3. Theory on “instability”: NA $\mu$ -entropy and Perelman entropy

AG theory on 'instability': (toric) NA  $\mu$ -entropy

Recall

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Fut}_\xi^0(q) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \frac{\int_{\partial P} e^{\langle x, -\xi \rangle + tq} d\sigma}{\int_P e^{\langle x, -\xi \rangle + tq} d\mu}.$$

Definition (Non-archimedean  $\mu$ -entropy)

$$\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(q) := -2\pi \frac{\int_{\partial P} e^q d\sigma}{\int_P e^q d\mu} + \lambda \left( n + \frac{\int_P q e^q d\mu}{\int_P e^q d\mu} - \log \int_P e^q d\mu \right)$$

We can define  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\mathcal{F})$  also for (not necessarily  $T$ -equiv.) degeneration  $(X, L) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} (X_0, L_0)$  of general  $(X, L)$ , but I don't explain it here.

## NA $\mu$ -entropy and $\mu$ K-stability

Theorem (Entropy maximization is optimal, v)

Suppose a "degeneration"  $(X, L) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} (X_0, L_0)$  maximizes  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda$ , then  $(X_0, L_0)$  is  $\mu^\lambda$ K-semistable w.r.t.  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

# NA $\mu$ -entropy and $\mu$ K-stability

## Theorem (Entropy maximization is optimal, v)

Suppose a "degeneration"  $(X, L) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} (X_0, L_0)$  maximizes  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda$ , then  $(X_0, L_0)$  is  $\mu^\lambda$ K-semistable w.r.t.  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

## Conjecture (Optimal destabilization)

If  $X$  has only klt singularities, there exists a unique "degeneration"  $(X, L) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} (X_0, L_0)$  which maximizes  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda$ .

Recall:

## Theorem (CSW, DS, HL, BLXZ, v)

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. Then there exists a unique "special degeneration"  $X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_E} X_0$  which maximizes  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^{2\pi}$ , which is related to the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of the normalized Kähler–Ricci flow.

## Restriction to affine functions (product tcs / vectors)

We can also write  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\langle \cdot, \zeta \rangle)$  as follows:

$$-\frac{\int_X s_{-\mu_\zeta}^\lambda(\omega) e^{-\mu_\zeta \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{-\mu_\zeta \omega^n}} + \lambda(n - \log \int_X e^{-\mu_\zeta \omega^n} / n!).$$

If  $L = -K_X$  (Fano) and  $\lambda = 2\pi$ , the first term is n: Tian–Zhu functional.

### Proposition (Volume minimization, ii)

If there exists a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric w.r.t.  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ , then  $\xi$  must be a critical point (actually, a maximizer) of the functional  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\langle \cdot, -\zeta \rangle)$  on  $\mathfrak{t}$ .

## Restriction to affine functions (product tcs / vectors)

We can also write  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\langle \cdot, \zeta \rangle)$  as follows:

$$-\frac{\int_X s_{-\mu_\zeta}^\lambda(\omega) e^{-\mu_\zeta \omega^n}}{\int_X e^{-\mu_\zeta \omega^n}} + \lambda(n - \log \int_X e^{-\mu_\zeta \omega^n} / n!).$$

If  $L = -K_X$  (Fano) and  $\lambda = 2\pi$ , the first term is n: Tian–Zhu functional.

### Proposition (Volume minimization, ii)

If there exists a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric w.r.t.  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ , then  $\xi$  must be a critical point (actually, a maximizer) of the functional  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\langle \cdot, -\zeta \rangle)$  on  $\mathfrak{t}$ .

Recall:

### Conjecture (Uniqueness of phase)

Given a Kähler class  $L$  and  $\lambda \leq 0$ ,  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics in  $L$  are unique modulo  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$ .

Since  $\text{Isom}_\xi(X, \omega)$  is maximally compact for  $\lambda \leq 0$ , it suffices to show the uniqueness of the critical points of  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\langle \cdot, -\zeta \rangle)$ .

# Phase transition, revival

In other words, the conjecture is equivalent to the following:

## Conjecture (Uniqueness of phase, reduced)

Given a Kähler class  $L$ ,  $\lambda \leq 0$  and a maximal torus  $T \subset \text{Aut}(X, L)$ , the critical points of  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\langle \cdot, -\zeta \rangle)$  are unique.

A sophisticated version of Laplace principle shows the following:

## Proposition (Phase transition, ii )

The functional  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\langle \cdot, -\zeta \rangle)$  is proper and bounded from above, hence admits a critical point. Moreover,

$$\sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^{\lambda'}(\langle \cdot, -\zeta \rangle) \text{ admits unique critical point for every } \lambda' < \lambda\}$$

is never  $\pm\infty$ . (The result  $\neq +\infty$  was unexpected. )

Now we have shown the conjecture is true for non-positive  $\lambda' < \sup\{\lambda \mid \dots\}$ .



## DG theory on 'instability': Perelman's entropy

For  $\omega \in \mathcal{H}(X, L)$  and  $f \in C^\infty(X)$ , we put

$$W^\lambda(\omega, f) := -\frac{\int_X s_f^\lambda(\omega) e^f \omega^n}{\int_X e^f \omega^n} + \lambda(n - \log \int_X e^f \omega^n / n!),$$

$$\mu_{\text{Per}}^\lambda(\omega) := \sup_f W^\lambda(\omega, f).$$

Theorem (Donaldson type inequality, iv)

$$\sup_{\mathcal{F}} \check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \leq \inf_{\omega} \mu_{\text{Per}}^\lambda(\omega).$$

Conjecture (Minimax conjecture)

$$\sup_{\mathcal{F}} \check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\omega} \mu_{\text{Per}}^\lambda(\omega).$$

# Perelman's $\mu^\lambda$ -entropy and $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics

## Theorem (Perelman's $\mu^\lambda$ -entropy and $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics, iv )

- Critical points of  $W^\lambda : \mathcal{H}(X, L) \times C^\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are precisely the pairs  $(\omega, f)$  of  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric  $\omega$  w.r.t.  $\xi_f$  and the potential  $f$ .
- For  $\lambda \leq 0$ ,  $\mu_{\text{Per}}^\lambda : \mathcal{H}(X, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is smooth and its **critical points** are precisely  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics  $\omega$  w.r.t. some  $\xi$ .
- For  $\lambda \leq 0$ , any  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric attains the **minimum** of  $\mu_{\text{Per}}^\lambda$ .
- For  $\lambda \leq 0$ , the vector  $\xi$  associated to a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric gives a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_\xi$  which attains the **maximum** of  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda$  and we have the equality:

$$\sup_{\mathcal{F}} \check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\omega} \mu_{\text{Per}}^\lambda(\omega).$$

# Summary

Theorem ( $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric is characterized by Perelman  $\mu$ -entropy)

For  $\lambda \leq 0$ , TFAE:

- $\omega \in \mathcal{H}(X, L)$  is a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric.
- $\omega$  minimizes  $\mu_{\text{Per}}^\lambda : \mathcal{H}(X, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

We put  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{NA}}^{\mathbb{R}}(X, L) := \{ \text{f.g. filtrations } \mathcal{F} \} / \sim$ .

Theorem (Entropy maximization is optimal)

B implies A:

- A: The central fibre of  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{NA}}^{\mathbb{R}}(X, L)$  is  $\mu^\lambda$ K-semistable w.r.t.  $\xi_{\mathcal{F}}$ .
- B:  $\mathcal{F}$  maximizes  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda : \mathcal{H}_{\text{NA}}^{\mathbb{R}}(X, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Conjecture

For  $\lambda \leq 0$ , A implies B.

# Conjectures, I: YTD conjecture and moduli space

## Conjecture (Compact moduli space of KR $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties)

There exists a **projective moduli space** of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties with Kähler–Ricci solitons.

## Conjecture (Existence of $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metric)

A Kähler class  $L$  admits a  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metric w.r.t.  $\xi$  **if and only if**  $\text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$  ( $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-semistable) for every  $\xi$ -equivariant  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  and  $\text{Fut}_\xi^\lambda(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$  only for product configurations ( $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-polystable).

## Conjecture (Moduli space of $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-semistable polarized varieties)

For each  $\lambda, \xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ , there exists an algebraic moduli space of polarized varieties with  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ -cscK metrics, whose moduli stack consists of  $T$ -equivariant family of polarized varieties which are  $\mu_\xi^\lambda$ K-semistable.

# Conjectures, II: Existence and Uniqueness of optimal degeneration

## Conjecture (Uniqueness of phase)

Given a Kähler class  $L$  and  $\lambda \leq 0$ ,  $\mu^\lambda$ -cscK metrics in  $L$  are unique modulo  $\text{Aut}(X, L)$ .

## Conjecture (Optimal destabilization)

$\exists!$  "degeneration"  $(X, L) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} (X_0, L_0)$  which maximizes  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda$ .

## Conjecture (Minimax conjecture)

$$\sup_{\mathcal{F}} \check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \inf_{\omega} \mu_{\text{Per}}^\lambda(\omega).$$

# Approach: non-archimedean pluripotential theory

## Conjecture (Optimal destabilization)

$\exists!$  "degeneration"  $(X, L) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} (X_0, L_0)$  which maximizes  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda$ .

Want to consider a completion of the space of f.g. filtrations  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{NA}}^{\mathbb{R}}(X, L)$ .



Use Boucksom–Jonsson's non-archimedean pluripotential theory

## Theorem (v)

There exists

- a complete metric space  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{NA}}^{\text{exp}}(X, L)$  consisting of functions on the Berkovich space  $X^{\text{NA}}$ ,
- a canonical embedding  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{NA}}^{\mathbb{R}}(X, L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\text{NA}}^{\text{exp}}(X, L)$  and
- an usc extension of  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda : \mathcal{H}_{\text{NA}}^{\mathbb{R}}(X, L) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  to  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{NA}}^{\text{exp}}(X, L)$ .

# Toric case is verified

## Theorem (New!)

There exists a lsc convex function  $q$  on  $P$  which maximizes toric  $\check{\mu}_{\text{NA}}^\lambda$  for  $\lambda \leq 0$  and  $\int_P e^{\rho q} d\mu < \infty$  for  $\rho \in [1, \frac{n}{n-1})$ .

## Conjecture

The maximizer  $q$  is piecewise affine.

## References

- i: *The moduli space of Fano manifolds with KRs ...*
- ii: *Constant  $\mu$ -scalar curvature ...*
- iii: *Equivariant calculus ...*
- iv: *Entropies in  $\mu$ -framework ... , I*
- v: *Entropies in  $\mu$ -framework ... , II*
- AJL: Apostolov, Jubert, Lahdili, *Weighted K-st. and coercivity ...*
- BHJ: Boucksom, Hisamoto, Jonsson, *Uniform K-stability, DH ...*
- BLXZ: Blum, Liu, Xu, Zhuang, *The existence of KR degeneration*
- CSW: Chen, Sun, Wang, *Kähler–Ricci flow, KE metric, and ...*
- DS: Dervan, Székelyhidi, *The Kähler–Ricci flow and optimal ...*
- HL1: Han, Li, *On the YTD conjecture for generalized KR ...*
- HL2: Han, Li, *Algebraic uniqueness of KRF limits ...*
- Lahdili1: *Kähler metrics with constant weighted scalar curvature ...*
- Lahdili2: *Convexity of weighted Mabuchi functional ...*
- Nakagawa: *On generalized Kähler–Ricci solitons*



Thank you!